SEMESTER: SPRING 2008 GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY May 30, 2008

## STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT

7.1

4.9

3.2

4.0

17.9

13.4

10.8

25.0

23.9

22.8

23.9

0.0

2.5

1.8

2.3

10. Readings helped me understa

the course topic

12155000 STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 39 COMPLETED FORMS: 28 INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION RESPONSE KEY NAME: Youngberg, David V S. . . STRONGLY AGREE. . . (5) COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences DEPT: Economics COURSE: ECON 310 MONEY and banking . . .(2) D. . .STRONGLY DISAGREE. . . (1) SECTION: 002 NA . . . NOT APPLICABLE . . . (6) DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION 1 5 Am't of effort put into the course: 1 very low 5=very high 11 10 Times abscent from cls: 1=0-1 2=2-3 3=4-5 4=6-7 5=8 or more 10 Expected Grades: 1=F 2=D 3=C 4=B 5=A 0 1 17 0 10 Class Level: 1=Fresh 2=Soph 3=Jr 4=Sr 5=Grad n 11 12 5 O Ovr'll GPA: 1=below 2.0 2=2-2.5 3=2.51-3.0 4=3.01-3.5 5=3.51-4 2 12 Course is: 5=required 4=elective 3=gen ed 2=Other 0 20 0 PERCENTAGES (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) MEAN MEDIAN S.D TTEMS D S NA AREA Resp. -------4.68 4.59 4.64 4.60 5.0 1. Course re'mts were clearly 0.0 0.0 0.6 1.6 7.1 17.9 75.0 0.0 CLASS 0.61 28 stated in the syllabus DEPT/PROGRAM 22.8 69.4 0.1 5.0 0.72 5.5 23,569 71,761 18.5 74.3 COL/SCHL/INST 0.71 5.0 0.1 5.0 1.6 UNIVERSITY 0.9 5.5 20.4 71.2 0.3 5.0 0.75 \_\_\_\_\_\_ 4.64 4.44 4.48 4.42 5.0 0.0 CLASS 0.61 2. The course was well 0.0 7.1 21.4 71.4 0.0 28 DEPT/PROGRAM 1,769 organized 7.7 26.1 61.9 0.2 0.86 1.6 2.5 5.0 1.3 8.5 21.9 0.0 COL/SCHL/INST 5.0 23,453 2,6 65.6 0.86 UNIVERSITY 62.4 0.1 5.0 ------\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 0.0 5.0 CLASS The instructor explained 0.0 10.7 25.0 64.3 0.0 4.54 4.35 4.45 4.36 4 54 0 69 28 DEPT/PROGRAM 1.772 the material clearly 1.6 3.4 12.1 24.6 58.2 0.1 5.0 0.93 COL/SCHL/INST 0.87 1.2 2.9 9.3 22.8 63.7 0.1 5.0 23,552 UNIVERSITY 71,690 1.8 10.8 24.5 4.36 5.0 0.94 ------5.0 0.79 4.39 4. Comments and suggestions 0.0 0.0 17.9 25.0 57.1 0.0CLASS 28 on returned material helpfu 2.2 4.7 11.5 23.5 47.9 10.2 DEPT/PROGRAM 5.0 1.02 1.761 J.94 1.01 COL/SCHL/INST 4.39 1.7 3.5 9.5 21.7 59.4 4.2 5.0 23,456 21.2 UNIVERSITY 10.8 2.4 4.0 53.4 8.2 5.0 71.315 0.47 4.82 5.0 0.0 5. The instructor showed 10.7 85.7 0.0 CLASS 28 0.0 3.6 28 0.65 1,760 0.66 23,437 0.72 71 respect for students 0.7 0.8 4.0 16.1 78.3 0.1 DEPT/PROGRAM 4.71 5.0 4.73 4.67 0.8 1.1 1.5 3.7 13.2 81.2 0.0 COL/SCHL/INST 5.0 UNIVERSITY 1.0 4.4 15.4 77.6 0.1 5.0 6. The instructor was acces-CLASS sible in person or electron DEPT/PROGRAM 4.57 1,762 0.9 1.9 6.3 20.3 67.8 2.8 5.0 0.78 1,6 4.64 5.3 16.6 74.2 COL/SCHL/INST 5.0 0.73 23,408 0.8 1.4 1.0 1.8 6.2 18.1 70.1 2.7 UNIVERSITY 5.0 0.78 71.186 -----\_\_\_\_\_\_ \*\*\*\* 0.0 7. The instructor followed 0.0 0.0 .10.7 89.3 0.0 CLASS 4.89 5.0 the stated crs. grading p'l 0.4 0.5 17.3 75.4 1.8 DEPT/PROGRAM 4.70 5.0 1,759 4.70 4.66 COL/SCHL/INST 5.0 0.6 16.3 76.5 0.65 23,488 1.0 UNIVERSITY 0.7 4.7 17.2 71.8 4.7 5.0 0.69 71,368 . 8. The exams reflected what 0.0 0.58 60.7 4.57 5.0 0.0 3.6 35.7 0.0 CLASS 1,763 was covered in the course 4.1 DEPT/PROGRAM 3.0 8.6 21.9 60.7 4.43 5.0 0.90 1.6 COL/SCHL/INST 0.79 1.8 16.1 61.7 23,233 16.9 UNIVERSITY 4.51 2.1 6.5 56.6 16.8 5.0 0.85 70.736 9. The as'mnts helped me 0.84 10.7 25.0 60.7 0.0 CLASS 28 0.0 3.6 4.43 5.0 learn the material DEPT/PROGRAM 4.29 1,756 2.2 11.6 21.4 51.0 1.00 3.5 10.3 5.0 0.92 23,394 1.7 3.0 COL/SCHL/INST 22.0 60.4 3.0 4.41 5.0 UNIVERSITY 10.2 

50.0

52.9

59.1

0.0

2.4

2.3

4.9

CLASS

DEPT/PROGRAM

COL/SCHL/INST

UNIVERSITY

4.18

4.23

4.37

0.98

1.03

0.93

1.00

28

1.759

23.349

71,087

4.5

5.0

5.0

5.0

SEMESTER: SPRING 2009 May 30, 2008 GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING SYSTEM REPORT 12155000

STUDENTS ENROLLED IN THIS CLASS: 39 COMPLETED FORMS: 28

INSTRUCTOR INFORMATION RESPONSE KEY												
NAME: Youngberg, David V COLLEGE: Humanities & Social Sciences DEPT: Economics COURSE: ECON 310 SECTION: 002									S STRONGLY AGREE (5) (4) (3) (2) D STRONGLY DISAGREE (1) NA NOT APPLICABLE (6)			
	PERCENTAGES											
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)					#	
ITEMS	D				s	NA	AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	Resp.	
11. Ass'mnts and exams were	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	92.6	0.0	CLASS	4.93	5.0	0.28	27	
returned properly	0.4	1.0	4.4	17.7	73.7	2.7	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.68	5.0	0.65	1,753	
	1.6	2.3	7.7	20.3	65.8	2.3	COL/SCHL/INST	4.50	5.0	0.87	23,347	
	2.0	2.6	7.9	20.1	60.9	6.7	UNIVERSITY	4,45	5.0	0.91	70,922	
12. The ins'tr covered the im'p	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.1	67.9	0.0	CLASS	4.68	5.0	0.47	28	
aspect of the course	0.8	1.4	5.4	21.5	70.6	0.3	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.60	5.0	0.73	1,771	
	0.7	1.4	5.5	19.1	73.1	0.2	COL/SCHL/INST	4.63	5.0	0.72	23,517	
	0.9	1.7	6.6	21.5	68.9	0.5	UNIVERSITY	4.56	5.0	0.77	71,542	
13. The ins'tr made the class	0.0	3.6	7.1	17.9	71.4	0.0	CLASS	4.57	5.0	0.79	28	
intellectually stimulating	2.9	4.3	10.4	20.7	61.6	0.1	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.34	5.0	1.02	1,761	
	2.2	3.6	9.5	20.1	64.5	0.1	COL/SCHL/INST	4,41	5,0	0.96	23,443	
	3.1	4.3	11.0	22.0	59.3	0.3	UNIVERSITY	4.30	5.0	1.03	71,325	
14. The instr encouraged	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	92.6	0.0	CLASS	4.93	5.0	0.28	27	
the students to be involved	2.5	4.2	11.4	22.4	57.9	1.5	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.31	5.0	1.00	1,754	
	1.3	2.4	7.7	18.3	70.0	0.4	COL/SCHL/INST	4.54	5.0	0.84	23,358	
	2.0	3.4	9.6	20.3	63.9	0.9	UNIVERSITY	4.42	5.0	0.94	71,116	
OVERALL RATING OF INSTRUCTION AND COURSE PERCENTAGES												
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)					#	
ITEMS	POOR		OK		EX'L	NA	AREA	MEAN	MEDIAN	S.D	Resp.	
15. My overall rating of the	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	CLASS	4.67	5,0	0.48	27	
teaching	1.7	3.0	11.7	25.2	58.5	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.36	5.0	0.92	1,757	
	1.4	2.4	9.7	22.2	64.3	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.46	5.0	0.87	23,164	
	1.9	2.9	11.5	23.9	59.8	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.37	5.0	0,93	70,576	
16. My overall rating of this	0.0	0.0	7.4	44.4	48.1	0.0	CLASS	4.41	4.0	0.65	27	
course	1.9	4.5	17.5	28.6	47.5	0.0	DEPT/PROGRAM	4.15	4.0	0.99	1,740	
	1.8	3.3	14.9	28.0	52.0	0.0	COL/SCHL/INST	4.25	5.0	0.95	22,992	
	2.6	4.2	16.4	28.2	48.5	0.0	UNIVERSITY	4.16	4.0	1.02	70,035	

Median is the middlemost of the ranked scores. S.D (Standard Deviation) is a measure of the dispersion of scores from the mean. For example, if all students agree that Prof. X is excellently prepared, the mean would be 5, and the standard deviation would be 0. NA responses and non-respondents are represented in percentages, but not in other calculations.